SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil declars sheet. (SEE DISTRICTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM)

the civil docket sheet. (SEE I	NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)	ned States in September 1974, is re	quired for the use of the Clerk of	court for the purpose of initiating
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS		
ALFREDA WILLIAMS		NCO FINANC	NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.	
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff	County of Residence	e of First Listed Defendant	
(c) Attorney's (Firm National Craig Thor Kimmel, E Kimmel & Silverman, 30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002 (215) 540-8888		NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)		
II. BASIS OF JURISI	OICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)		PRINCIPAL PARTIES	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only Citizen of This State	PTF DEF 1 1 Incorporated or Pri of Business In This	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	☐ 2 ☐ 2 Incorporated and Proof Business In A	
IN MARKING OF CHI		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	☐ 3 ☐ 3 Foreign Nation	<u> </u>
CONTRACT	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALITY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Slander	620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 of 630 Liquor Laws of 640 R.R. & Truck of 650 Airline Regs. of 660 Occupational Safety/Health of 90 Other TABOR Title Abor Standards Act Title Act of 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations Title Act Title Act of 740 Railway Labor Act Title Act Title Act of 740 Railway Labor Act Title Act Title Act of 740 Railway Labor Act Title Act of 740 Railway Labor Act Title Act of 740 Railway Labor Act of 740 Railway Labo	PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark 840 Trademark 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUFS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
▼1 Original □ 2 Re	ate Court Appellate Court	Reopened anot	nsferred from ther district ciffy) 6 Multidistrict Litigation	Appeal to District ct
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a 15 U.S.C SECTION 1692 Brief description of cause: Fair Debt Collection Practices A		nal statutes unless diversity):	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:			CHECK YES only i JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: ✓ Yes □ No
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
Explanation:				
DATE	SIGNATURE C	F ATTORNEY OF RECORD		
07/10/2012	/s/ Craig Th	or Kimmel		

Case 2:12-cv-03907-GEKP Document 1 Filed 07/11/12 Page 2 of 9

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

ALFREDA WILLIAMS		:	CIVIL ACTION	
v.		: :		
NCO FINANCIAL SYSTE	MS, INC.	: : :	NO.	
plaintiff shall complete a Ca filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the e designation, that defendant sl	se Management Tea a copy on all deference that a defendall, with its first a a Case Manageme	rack Desigendants. (dant does ppearance nt Track D	y Reduction Plan of this courgnation Form in all civil cases See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the agree with the plaintiff resubmit to the clerk of court and esignation Form specifying the	at the time of on the reverse egarding said d serve on the
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	OLLOWING CA	SE MANA	AGEMENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases b	rought under 28 U	J.S.C. § 22	41 through § 2255.	()
(b) Social Security – Cases reand Human Services den				()
(c) Arbitration – Cases requi	red to be designate	ed for arbi	tration under Local Civil Rule	53.2. (X)
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvi exposure to asbestos.	ng claims for person	onal injury	or property damage from	()
(e) Special Management – C commonly referred to as the court. (See reverse si management cases.)	complex and that i	need speci	al or intense management by	()
(f) Standard Management –	Cases that do not f	fall into an	y one of the other tracks.	()
07/10/2012 Date	Craig Thor Kimn Attorney-at-law	<u>nel</u>	Plaintiff, Alfreda Williams Attorney for	
215-540-8888 Telephone	877-788-2864 FAX Number	_	kimmel@creditlaw.com E-Mail Address	

Case 2:12-cv-03907-GEKP Document 1 Filed 07/11/12 Page 3 of 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose assignment to appropriate calendar.						
Address of Plaintiff: 430 IVY Dr., Oakland TN 380	(00					
Address of Defendant: 507 Prodential Rd., Horsham PA 19044						
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:						
(Use Reverse Side For Ad	dditional Space)					
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and	nd any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?					
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))	Yes No					
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No.					
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:						
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:					
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:						
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year	-1					
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior su action in this court?						
action in this court;	Yes□ No⊄					
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier nu						
terminated action in this court?	Yes No					
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights	case filed by the same individual?					
	Yes□ No□					
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:					
1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. ☐ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts					
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury					
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation					
4. □ Antitrust	4. ☐ Marine Personal Injury					
5. □ Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury					
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please					
	specify)					
7. □ Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability					
8. □ Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos					
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases					
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)					
11. All other Federal Question Cases SU.S.C. \ QQ	, - "					
ARBITRATION CERTI						
I, Craid Thor Lymne , counsel of record do hereby certify						
□ Pursuant-to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and b \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	elief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of					
□ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	_					
DATE: 01 10 12 /s/chaia Thor K	mmo1 57100					
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#					
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there	e has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.					
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or w	vithin one year previously terminated action in this court					
except as noted above.	A 1					
DATE: OILONG /8/CMOINOR HMMU	<u> </u>					
Attorney at-Law	Attorney I.D.#					

CIV. 609 (6/08)

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 3 ALFREDA WILLIAMS, 4 Plaintiff Case No.: 5 v. COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR 6 NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., **JURY TRIAL** 7 Defendant (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) 8 **COMPLAINT** 9 ALFREDA WILLIAMS ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, KIMMEL & 10 SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., 11 12 ("Defendant"): 13 INTRODUCTION 14 1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA"). 16 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 17 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states 18 that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court 19 without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original 20 jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States. 21 3. Defendant conducts business, and has its principal corporate office located in the 22 Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; therefore, personal jurisdiction is established. 23 24 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1). 25

5

9

10

12

11

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Oakland, Tennessee 38060 at the time of the alleged harassment.
 - 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 7. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its corporate headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road, Horsham, Pennsylvania 19044.
- 8. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. At all relevant times, Defendant was attempting to collect alleged consumer debts from Plaintiff.
- 11. The alleged debts at issue, two credit card accounts, arose out of transactions, which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 12. Beginning in or around August 2011, and continuing through June 6, 2012, Defendant repeatedly and continuously contacted Plaintiff in its attempts to collect two (2) debts.
- 13. During this time, Defendant contacted Plaintiff, on average, two (2) to three (3) times a day, Monday through Saturday.
- 14. During these calls, Plaintiff was never able to speak with a live collector, as Defendant's calls were pre-recorded messages.
- 15. As a result, Plaintiff was unable to inform Defendant to stop calling her home telephone.

- 16. So, in order to stop Defendant's collection calls, on numerous occasions Plaintiff called the telephone numbers provided by Defendant.
- 17. On several of these occasions, when Plaintiff returned the calls, she received a message that the phone number was no longer in service, which was confusing to Plaintiff as she did not understand why Defendant would provide her with an out of service phone number.
- 18. On other occasions, when Plaintiff returned the calls, she would receive prerecorded messages instructing her to "hold for an available agent."
- 19. Plaintiff would remain on the telephone; however, after several minutes, no person would answer the phone; rather, the call would just disconnect.
- 20. It was extremely aggravating and frustrating to Plaintiff to be placed on hold, only to have the phone call subsequently disconnected.
- 21. In those instances where Plaintiff did not answer the phone, Defendant would leave voicemail messages on her home answering machine.
- 22. In its voicemail messages, Defendant identified itself as a debt collector and instructed Plaintiff to call them back.
- 23. Plaintiff resides with her mother, "Mary Williams," and shares the home telephone number and answering machine with her mother.
- 24. Defendant's voicemail messages to the home answering machine were overheard by Plaintiff's mother.
- 25. At no time did Plaintiff consent to the disclosure of debt information to any third party, including her mother.
- 26. It was embarrassing and harassing to Plaintiff for her mother to learn about her personal debt matters.

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

COUNT I

- 27. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(b).
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692c(b) of the FDCPA by communicating, in connection with the collection of a debt, with any person other than the consumer, his attorney, a consumer reporting agency if otherwise permitted by law, the creditor, the attorney of the creditor, or the attorney of the debt collector, without the prior consumer of the consumer given directly to the debt collector.
 - b. Here, Defendant violated §1692c(b) of the FDCPA by communicating, in connection with the collection of a debt, by leaving a voicemail messages on Plaintiff's home answering machine which revealed that Defendant was calling about a debt, which were heard by a third party, Plaintiff's mother, without Plaintiff's express permission to do so.

COUNT II

- 28. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692d and 1692d(5).
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt.
 - b. Section 1692d(5) of the FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation

repeatedly or continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number.

c. Here, Defendant violated §§1692d and 1692d(5) of the FDCPA when it called Plaintiff's home telephone multiple times a day and on numerous days a week, in addition to leaving multiple voicemail messages on Plaintiff's home telephone.

COUNT III

- 30. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. \$1692f.
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692f of the FDCPA by using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
 - b. Here, Defendant violated §1692f of the FDCPA by continuously and repetitively calling Plaintiff using automated messages so that Plaintiff could not speak with a live person; providing Plaintiff with a number that was no longer in service; and placing Plaintiff on hold, only to hang up on her.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, ALFREDA WILLIAMS, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1693k(a)(3); and
- d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

1 **DEMA ND FOR JURY TRIAL** 2 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, ALFREDA WILLIAMS, demands a jury trial in 3 this case. 4 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, 5 DATED: 07/10/2012 KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C. 6 By: /s/ Craig Thor Kimmel Craig Thor Kimmel 7 Attorney ID # 57100 Kimmel & Silverman, P.C. 8 30 E. Butler Pike 9 Ambler, PA 19002 Phone: (215) 540-8888 10 Fax: (877) 788-2864 Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 - 6 -